# Irwin M. Jacobs School Improvement Plan

School Year 2018-2019 School: Irwin M. Jacobs Principal: Kerry S. Kennedy

## Section 1. Set goals aligned to the District Plan:

- 1. **[ELA/Math Gr. 2-5]** One hundred percent (100%) of teachers will facilitate the learning growth of their students so that eighty percent (80%) of their students achieve their target score or projected score on STAR, whichever is higher, by EOY.
- 2. **[ELA /Math Gr. preK-2]** One hundred percent (100%) of teachers will facilitate the learning growth of their students so that eighty percent (80%) of their students are proficient in DIBELS (applies to Gr. K and 1) based on composite score, or other grade level predetermined benchmarks (Gr. PreK-1).
- 3. [Student Support Systems] One hundred percent (100%) of students will be tiered (tiers 1, 2, 3) for Positive Behavorial Interventions and Supports (PBIS) and one hundred percent (100%) of staff will implement the PBIS plan to decrease the number of office referrals by fifty percent (50%)
- 4. **[ESL] 50% more** Students will exit from ESL programming (Increase from 6% to 12%) and reduce number of students dropping a level on ACCESS (9% to 4.5%), decrease number of students remaining at same level from (17%-8.5%) and increase number of students making gains on ACCESS from (3.5%-7% or higher)
- 5. **[Parent and Community Engagement]** Eighty percent (80%) of parents/guardians will participate in school family functions and/or communicate with teachers/staff at least one time per trimester during the 2018/2019 school year.

		SY17-18 (Historical)		SY18-19 (Goals)						
	% of students Meeting or Exceeding Expectations	Average Scaled Score	Mean SGP	% of students Meeting or Exceeding Expectations	Average Scaled Score	Mean SGP				
		MCAS	2.0 Data ~ (	Grade 3-4-5						
ELA	40%	495.6	40.2	60%	498	50%				
Math	27%	487.1	25.75	40%	490	40%				

		BOY 18-19 (Historical)		EOY 18-19 (Goals)						
	% of students Meeting or Exceeding Expectations	Average Scaled Score	Median SGP	% of students Meeting or Exceeding Expectations	Average Scaled Score	Median SGP				
		STAI	R Data ~ Grad	le 2-3-4-5						
	Grade 2- 26%	Grade 2 – 133		Grade 2 74%	Grade 2 – 327	Grade 2 – 50				
ELA	Grade 3–16%	Grade 3 – 193		Grade 3–71%	Grade 3 – 436	Grade 3 – 50				
	Grade 4- 24%	Grade 4 – 335		Grade 4 –74%	Grade 4 – 520	Grade 4 –50				
	Grade 5 -17%	Grade 5 – 456		Grade 5–71%	Grade 5– 630	Grade 5 –50				
	Grade 2 – 14%	Grade 2 –325		Grade 2 –70%	Grade 2 – 502	Grade 2 – 40				
Math	Grade 3 –24%	Grade 3- 422		Grade 3-74%	Grade 3 –593	Grade 3 –40				
iviatii	Grade 4 –32%	Grade 4 – 520		Grade 4–76%	Grade 4 – 654	Grade 4 –40				
	Grade 5 –18%	Grade 5–644		Grade 5–72%	Grade 5 –710	Grade 5 –40				

		BOY 17-18 (Historical)	EOY 17-18 (Goals)
	% of students Meeting or Exceeding Expectations	% of students Not Meeting Expectations	% of students Meeting or Exceeding Expectations  % of students Not Meeting Expectations
300 00000		DIBEL	s Data ~ Grade K-1-2
DIBELS Composite Score	Grade K – 33% Grade 1 – 46%	Grade K – 67% Grade 1 – 54%	Grade K – 80% Grade K – 20% Grade 1 – Grade 1 – 20% 80%

Section 2. Use data to determine school-specific strengths and weaknesses

## (a) What progress did your school make last year?

Irwin M. Jacobs school received an ELT/OST grant, which expanded the school day by one hour. This time allows for an Advancement Time period which targets tier groups for strategic supports and interventions. A SPARK science lab was established to enhance STEAM within the school through a hands-on approach. Kindergarten attends SPARK lab for 45 minutes/weekly and grades 1-5 attend the lab for 90 minutes/weekly. As part of the ELT grant, Jacobs School is a Project-Based Learning (PBL) school and all grades participate in grade level created projects throughout the year, with supports from

community partners. The OST program and summer program enhance ELT project-based learning, targeting school-wide areas of concern.

#### 2018 Academic Data (MCAS, STAR, DIBELs, etc.):

#### MCAS Highlights:

- Grade 3 has 40% of students currently meeting or exceeding proficiency
- ELA (average) exceeded achievement targets across all areas

#### STAR360 Highlights:

- Grade 3 achieved 1.3 and 1.5 years of growth in ELA, finishing the year with a class average GE of 4.3 and 4.6. (2 classes)
- Grade 3 achieved 1.2 and 1.3 years of growth in Mathematics, finishing the year with a class average GE of 4.7 and 4.6. (2 classes)
- One grade 4 achieved 1.0 year of growth with an average GE of 5.3 and one grade 5 class achieved 1.3 years of growth with an average GE of 6.0 in Mathematics.
- Grade 3 newcomer class had the largest growth based on scaled score in Mathematics with a +132 SS.

#### **DIBELS Highlight:**

Kindergarten, including the newcomer class, achieved an average of 83% proficiency, with a
 +48 differential from BOY to EOY.

## **Attendance Data:**

According to MCAS, Jacobs School met expectations in attendance, receiving 4/4 of target points. We reduced the number of students in the chronically absent bucket and met our targeted goals.

Increase in Tardiness-decrease in absences

#### Family Engagement Data:

Families were involved but not fully engaged in events last year. The moves affected attendance, as the former Taylor building was not handicapped accessible, preventing some families from attending and PK families at Sea Lab remained too far from neighborhood school to attend events.

Offerings: Open House (70%), Literacy (30%), Math Nights (12%), Trunk or Treat (33%), Report Card Conferences (Poor attendance at only 15% per grade, on average).

Parent Cafés (Use of Computers for Academic Support, How Sleep Affects Education, Play Group supports) and were only attended by 5 families at each Café.

Presentation of Learning (45%) of all families attended EOY POLs with Kindergarten attendance the highest with 82% of families attending.

(b) What did students struggle with last year? Why? Please consider data by grade level and subject. Questions to consider include:

- What grades/classrooms are of the most serious concern?
- What does your data suggest are the reasons why students are struggling?

## ELA:

Overall, writing, conventions, and essay were areas of concern as evidenced by the 2018 Spring MCAS. Responses were copied directly from the text and the writing did not answer what the question was asking.

	Essay	Writing	Conventions
Grade 3	42% of total possible points	35% of total possible points	52% of total possible points
Grade 4	39% of total possible points	34% of total possible points	46% of total possible points
Grade 5	29% of total possible points	36% of total possible points	43% of total possible points

## According to STAR360 EOY ELA:

There was a substantial number of students in Levels 1 and 2, with a low percentage of students proficient in all participating grades..

Grade	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	% Proficient
2	25	12	8	11	0	20%
3	10	13	12	18	0	34%

4	7	13	16	15	0	29%
5	9	8	18	15	0	30%

#### Mathematics:

Overall, constructive responses and short answer questions were areas of concern as evidenced by the 2018 Spring MCAS.

	Constructive Response	Short Answer
Grade 3	39% of total possible points	56% of total possible points
Grade 4	42% of total possible points	40% of total possible points
Grade 5	21% of total possible points	23% of total possible points

Jacobs school needs a school-wide intervention in improving constructive responses and short answer problems, building foundations in grades preK-2 and targeted supports in grades 3-5. According to MCAS analysis, Grade 3 scored significantly below the state (-25) in Operations and Algebraic Thinking (Multiply and Divide within 100). Grades 4 and 5 scored significantly below the state in Number and Operations in Base 10, particularly in the area of performing multi-digit arithmetic of whole numbers equal to or less than 1,000,000. Fractions and decimal fractions were significantly an area of struggle, with -25 and -30 point differentials as compared to the state.

## According to STAR360 EOY Math:

Grade	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	% Proficient
2	21	12	15	6	1	13%
3	6	8	15	22	1	44%
4	9	13	16	13	0	25%
5	8	17	16	9	0	18%

Grades 2, 4, and 5 demonstrated non-significant growth in students proficient in Math. Support is needed in these grades.

## Initiative 1: ELA



**Team Members:** Kerry Kennedy, Daniel Viegas, Laura Borges, Catherine Rocha, Lara Mahan, Lori Silveira

## **Final Outcomes:**

- One hundred percent (100%) of students will show growth and eighty percent (80%) of students will meet their target or projected score, whichever is higher, on STAR 360.
- Grades K to 5 will target writing strategies and topic development to improve student scores based on Pearson Writing to Sources and Grade Level Writing Rubrics

#### **Teacher Practice Goals:**

- Teachers will facilitate eighty percent (80%) meeting target or projected scores, whichever is higher, on STAR360. Teachers will conference with students to set monthly goals.
- Teachers will collaborate in horizontal and vertical teams to develop writing prompts in response to text and establish rubric scoring norms during the Looking at Student Work (LASW) cycle.
- Teachers will unpack 2017 MCAS ELA/Writing standards to understand MCAS expectations and use the backwards design process embedded in the curriculum to design lessons aligned to the standards
- Explicit instruction surrounding finding Main Ideas and Key Details
- Individual conferences and goal-setting with students and families

## **Student Learning Goals:**

- Students will set monthly goals on STAR360 to achieve target or projected scores, whichever is higher.
- Students will use technology to write and edit their writing prompts, using appropriate conventions, topic development, and grammar to meet or exceed standards in writing.

## What this means for teachers:

- Teachers should tie lessons to rigorous vocabulary and language acquisition, emphasize conceptual and contextual understanding, and use data cycles to continuously monitor and adjust instruction accordingly.
- Technology will be embedded in instruction to assist in reading fluency and writing.
- Teachers will use the gradual release model with the writing process, so students are writing daily and independently for increased periods of time.
- The writing process will be embedded in daily ELA blocks and cross-curricula strengthened and evidenced by PBL research with POLs for an audience of peers and community members.

• Student independence will be fostered by: Individual ponderings with real world connections, solid writing block for student-driven research

## What this means for building leadership:

- Principal will provide feedback that emphasizes the connection between planning, instruction, assessment and Looking at Student Work (LASW) protocol
- Advancement/Intervention plans will be created and implemented with monitoring during Learning Walks and Common Planning Times (CPTs)
- Lesson Plan reviews will focus on Tier 1 (Core) instructional practices that are Research-Based and inclusive of strategies to support students at Tiers 2 and 3
- Principal will ensure time for horizontal and vertical data meetings, focused on grade level expectations and planning for EOY expectations
- Vertical and Horizontal planning time in ILT, CPTs and PD

## **Key Milestones:**

#### Nov. 1:

- STAR/DIBELS BOY baselines
- Set individual student goals based on BOY baselines and subsequent progress monitoring in STAR and DIBELS
- Schoolwide instructional practices: SEI strategies, Accountable Talk, Vocabulary instruction (Frayer, 7 step) are evident in at least 80% of general education classrooms, including Special Education, ESL and Specialists
- Progress Monitoring/assess goals (October, November, December)
- > CPT writing cycle/ September, October LASW
- > BOY baseline data
- > 1 round of Progress Monitoring on STAR
- > DRAs
- Phonics Inventory K-2-Literacy Profiles

## Feb. 1:

- > STAR/DIBELS MOY benchmarks
- Review individual student goals based on MOY and subsequent progress monitoring
- Progress
   Monitoring/assess goals
   (February, March, April)
- CPT writing cycle/ January, February, March, April LASW
- > ACCESS testing
- MCAS testing
- Literacy Night
- DRA quick checks
- Phonics Inventory K-2-Literacy Profiles
- > MOY 60 SGP

- MCAS testing
- ➤ STAR/DIBELS EOY benchmarks
- Review and assessment of school practices in writing
- Goal monitoring and final progress monitoring
- Completion of writing portfolios and review/distribution to upcoming teachers (June)
- DRA analysis
- Phonics Inventory K-2-Literacy Profiles
- EOY 80 SGP

Roadmap										
Activity	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
Writing Cycle every 1 <sup>st</sup> week of a 4 week CPT cycle: Unpacking 2017 MA Writing Standards, creating prompts/rubrics, and LASW										
STAR/DIBELS goal creation and reviews based							and an arranged to an	imming/man	Extrement or	

on progress monitoring for next steps planning (whole group goals and individual student goals) - student conferences	
Use of technology (e.g. Voice Recorder) as a tool to assist fluency, comprehension, and editing	
STAR/DIBELS Progress Monitoring to tier Advancement Period groupings-Sharing with students and families	
Literacy Night-Academic focus	
Use of STAR instructional student/class report to target specific needs for small group/whole group instruction	
Provide, and include in instruction, exemplars for each rubric score	
Instruct and implement various forms of writing, depending on subject – cross-curricula	
Goal Setting and Conferences with students	
English in a Flash daily usage for all EL 1s & 2s	
CPTs to norm CFAs and use writing rubrics to align with MCAS and Jacobs Rubrics	
Analyze data and trends in ILT meetings and in CPTs horizontally and vertically	
Writing conferences with all students for goal setting	
Explicit feedback to students regarding writing goals and next steps	

## Initiative 2: Math



**Team Members:** Kerry Kennedy, Daniel Viegas, Donna Kirby-Blanchette, Karen Curran, Krystyna Schinigoi

Final Outcomes: By EOY,

- Eighty percent (80%) of students will achieve their target or projected scores, whichever is higher, as measured by STAR360.
- Eighty percent (80%) of students will achieve proficiency on grade level specific constructive responses based on MCAS rubric-Non-MCAS tested grades will adapt constructive response feedback, using MCAS-type rubric

## **Teacher Learning Goals**

One hundred percent (100%) of teachers will plan and implement:

• Daily problem-solving in targeted concept areas

- Weekly constructed responses with Solve-and –Share
- KNSA (Keys to Literacy strategy) as rolled out for Math CPT
- Individual goal setting and conferencing based on weekly and monthly targets on STAR

#### **Student Learning Goals**

- Students will set monthly goals on STAR360 to achieve target or projected scores, whichever is higher by EOY
- Students will be able to solve and share (written, verbally) outcomes of constructive response problems.
- Students will identify and use problem-specific strategies when answering constructive response problems.
- Students will become fluent in basic operations to facilitate multi-digit calculations.

## What this means for teachers:

- Teachers will use the backwards design process embedded in the curriculum to design lessons in grade level teams that teach students problem-solving strategies and help them develop the resilience needed to grapple with complex problems. Available resources will be used to formatively assess within lessons and differentiate instruction based on those assessments.
- Strategies specific to each response will be taught to students, after they productively grapple with the problem and share out. These problems should be scaffolded according to student needs.
- Teachers will supplement the core instructional program with a variety of resources to help students develop a fact fluency (speed and accuracy) and decrease frustration levels when computing complex problems.

## What this means for building leadership:

- Principal will provide feedback around the LASW protocol during CPTs, on weekly lesson plans and from observations and Learning Walks.
- TLS and Principal will support teachers for planning for Advancement groups, based on data and anecdotal notes
- CPTs will focus on data from MCAS, STAR, Benchmarks assessments and Prodigy reports and plan for next steps
- PD and ILT sessions, both horizontal and vertical, will allow for data analysis and nextstep planning

## **Key Milestones:**

## Nov. 1:

- Baseline assessments will be given
- Math CPT cycle and LASW for written responses
- STAR BOY and Progress
   Monitoring review/assess goals
   for October, November
- Advancement groups will further support students in need in small groups
- Personal Needs Profiles for students in need of assistance
- Accountable Talk, Prodigy, KNSA and other strategies will be used in 75% of classrooms (SPED, ESL, General Ed.)

## Feb. 1:

- ➤ Math CPT cycle and LASW
- STAR Progress
   Monitoring review/assess goals for
   December, January,
   February
- Advancement schedules will continue for
- Continued assessments of PNPs
- Accountable Talk, Prodigy and KNSA strategies are used 90% of classrooms
- MOY and PM will show

- Math CPT cycle will review data and LASW will continue for final areas of need
- > MOY-EOY groupings for MCAS preparation
- Final PNP reviews and recommendations for final next steps before MCAS and into summer and planning for OST/ELT
- Accountable Talk,
   Prodigy and KNSA
   strategies will be used

SGP	of 60		A	(SP	oo% ED, I Y data	ESL,	Gen.	ed)

Ros	adm	nap		Y.						1
Activity	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
Math CPT cycle (week two of four week cycles) - LASW										
"Solve and Share" constructive responses - daily				Promise Chambergale						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Progress Monitoring Reviews and student conferences based on monthly goals – next steps						002211011111111111111	) i nadmonesse			
Rocket Math and Prodigy.com — building fluency-daily supports for applicable students								(10000000000000000000000000000000000000		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Math Family Night-to support home/school						MINON			to minerator	
Professional Development targeting students with diverse learning styles										
Personal Needs Profiles-Gen. Ed., ESL and Sp. Ed. Created for students in need										
Parent Café to provide ideas for math supports at home										
MCAS Problem Solving Sessions-break- down of problems						annand ar ris	3			
PLC for staff during CPT						o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	Telegraphy of the second	· ·		
Math Focused Learning Walks, Observations and ILT sessions						lovenovenius	W. W. W. W. W.	e in original in the		
									-	-

# Initiative 3: Student Support Systems (SEL, SPED, ESL)



Team Members: Kerry Kennedy, Kris Welchman SAC, Dan Viegas, TLS, Monique Latessa Sped/ESL Planning Team PBIS Team

#### **Final Outcomes:**

#### By EOY:

- Decrease in referrals by 50% from 2017/2018 to 2018/2019 based on SWIS data (behavioral)
- Decrease in RTI referrals (Special Education)
- Five-year exit plan for EL students, with targeted identification, interventions, and supports based on SEI cycle reviews
- ➤ One hundred percent (100%) of all students will demonstrate growth in STAR or DIBELS, with eighty percent (80%) of students meeting target or projected score, whichever is higher

#### **Teacher Practice Goals**

- (SEL) Implementation, with one hundred percent (100%) fidelity, of PBIS plan, specifically in Zones of Regulation and mindfulness
- (Special Education/RTI) Identify and tier students by needs of support and interventions to decrease number of Special Education referrals
- (Special Education) Implementation of tiered phonemic based instruction
- Attend 10 hours of targeted special education professional development
- (ESL) One hundred percent (100%) of students will participate in ACCESS testing. Seventy five percent (75%) of EL students will make progress on ACCESS.

## **Student Learning Goals**

- > (SEL) Use of self-regulation using Zones of Regulation techniques to de-escalate in order to prevent learning disruptions and crisis situations
- > (SPED) Meet target or projected score, whichever is higher, on STAR/DIBELS by EOY
- > (ESL) Develop English Language to demonstrate progress on ACCESS test

## What this means for teachers:

- > Teachers will increase learning time with little disruption in the classroom with the implementation of PBIS. Using data driven planning for academic tiered intervention and supports, teachers will reduce number of Special Education referrals and progress to 100% student growth and 80% of students meeting targets or projected scores.
- ➤ The ESL data review cycle will formulate action plans with targeted supports to progress EL students in the classroom.

## What this means for building leadership:

- All staff will take responsibility for all students and fully implement PBIS in all areas of the building. Classroom, SPED, ESL teachers, principal, and TLS will collaborate, review data, and plan supports for the teaching and learning of all students.
- Parents will learn how to implement similar strategies to support home/school connection.

## **Key Milestones:**

#### Nov. 1:

- SWIS Data Review (September)
- ESL Cycle Review (weekly)
- Goal Setting and Progress Monitoring STAR/DIBELS

## Feb. 1:

- SWIS Data Review (December)
- ESL Cycle Review (weekly)
- > ACCESS Test
- Goal Setting and Progress Monitoring STAR/DIBELS

- SWIS Data Review (May/June)
- > ESL Cycle Review (weekly)
- Goal Reviews and next steps

Ro	adm	ap								
Activity	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
(SEL) 11.5 hours of Professional Development										
(SEL) Classroom Calm Down Zones		( Programme of the Control of the Co	Journal	A service contraction						and the same of
(SEL) Trauma Team – Supports and Intervention		United the second	en Ostroman	- Qualifiti mani	nia (sy-)		A-communication	TERRITA AND		о кон (ж. н. са.)
(SEL) PBIS Model Throughout the Building	- h sussauma	<b>1</b>		)1tente	10)191914 (10)1011111	e i ann ann a' faoigeac <sub>h</sub> cao	Annous source	THE CONTRACTOR STATE	F-P (1) and Congression	olive mile mile
(SEL) SPARK Shout outs	ymannunin	in removement		arranto canto		erani era erantuga (o		emmo umetra	and the same of th	
(SEL) SWIS Data Reviews to target specific							p		A	krometum sam
behavioral needs among grade levels										
(SPED) 10 hours of Professional Development		\$								
(SPED) SEPAC – Special Education Parent Advisory Council					umpaliga m.				anni antiro	
Phonemic Based Reading Approach					T	and the same				
LEXIA							1000			
MDIS/ My Sidewalks										
21st Century Afterschool Program – targeted				600		TAR				
academic supports through Project-Based										
Learning										
Use of technology to assist in Speaking, area of									mean.	
lowest scoring on ACCESS test										
ESL Data Review cycle - weekly				953						
English in A Flash						12.63				
								-		

# **Initiative 4: Parent and Community Engagement**



## **Team Members:**

Kerry Kennedy, Dan Viegas, Veronica Ortiz, Kris Welchman, Monique Latessa,

## **Final Outcomes:**

By EOY, Jacobs School will continue with Community Engagement as it supports our Project-Based Learning. Each grade will continue to partner with a local agency in the areas surrounding PBL. All families will become more engaged in the academic needs of students, beginning with Parent-Teacher conferences and including Presentations of Learning (POLs) for PBL and Parent Cafés that support specific needs of grade levels and families.

## **Teacher Practice Goals**

Teachers will continue to use ClassDojo to communicate with families and ensure that culturally sensitive

translations are included in work being sent home and with notices and messages to families.

## **Student Learning Goals**

- Students will create goals for assessments and targets for this year, based on STAR, MCAS and other data
- Office referrals will decrease, due to PD and strategies being implemented (along with recess time) to increase time on learning
- > Students will continue to attend school, so that Jacobs once again meets the Accountability Targets in this area

## What this means for teachers:

- Teachers will help students create goals, based upon data. Frequent check-ins will occur to ensure that students are on-target to meet set goals and help adjust if off-track.
- Common Planning time will be used to monitor student data and adjust re-teaching plans, based upon latest data

## What this means for building leadership:

- > All parties (students, families, teachers, administrators and community partners) will work together to help students meet goals. Each role within community is equally a part of student academic goals.
- Grade level teams take responsibility for PBL and POLs to share out collaborative efforts between all parties

## **Key Milestones**

#### Nov. 1:

- > Open House initial engagement
- ➤ Literacy Night event planning
- Outreach via Parent Support Specialist
- New Families will have attended a new family event as a meet and greet (planned, if not implemented)
- 75% of all Families will attend at least one event throughout 1st Trimester
- > Initial PTO planning session
- School Council instituted
- Report card conference schedule for December for coverage and scheduling families
- Baseline for tardiness
- Baseline for attendance and identification of Tiers 2-3 Attendance interventions
- Attendance tracking, monthly reviews and interventions, as needed, collaboration with Parent Support Specialist

## Feb. 1:

- Math Night Planning will be finalized
- > 100% of Families will have attended a conference to receive report card
- ➤ 85% of families will have attended one event and one visit for academic supports
- > PTO up and running
- Parent Cafés established for SY 19 with focus on academics
- PBIS data reviews-Monitoring for decrease in Office Referrals and increase in time on learning
- Parent café on attendance and link to scores (MCAS, STAR) and decrease in tardies
- Attendance tracking, monthly reviews and interventions, as needed, collaboration with Parent Support Specialist
- Work with Parent Support Specialist, Tier 3 interventions to reduce the number of students in need

- > 100% of families will have attended 2 events
- 85% of families will have attended more than 3 events-with all families having shown up for 2 report card conferences
- PTO elections and financial planning
- Parent cafés with increased attendance
- PBL POLs for all grades
- Final calculations of PBIS-Office Referrals
- Overall decrease in tardies for year
- Attendance tracking, monthly reviews and interventions, as needed, collaboration with Parent Support Specialist
- Celebrations across whole school

of interventions	>	

Roadmap										
Activity	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
				7						
Trimesterly scheduled family engagement events (Literacy Night, Math Night, Science Night) supporting curricular links and standards										
Parent-Teacher Conferences-Dec. And March										
Parent Cafés to support areas of need for families (Held monthly and using Jacobs and Community Staff as presenters)										
Project-Based Learning (PBL) Presentations of Learning (POLs)										
English Classes for Families of ELs TBD										
21st Century ELT and OST Family Events TBD										
Community Partners to support PBL(GROW, DPW, FDRC, NBSO, Coastal Foodshed, NB Art Museum, Comm. Boating) planning for Projects & POLs at EOY			Afternamental Comments and the Comments							
Creation of and scheduled meetings for PTO, Special Education PAC subgroup for Jacobs, School Council			a contract of the contract of			Area area area area area area area area			W (2)	
Jacobs Data Books will be maintained to record attendance, STAR and other benchmark scores in addition to MCAS				000 - 000			, 1000-01-02/	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		nagazetini

## Section 4. Develop a targeted PD plan to support SIP

(a) What are the changes in teacher practice that need to occur to reach the goals set out in this plan?

Focus area	What exemplary practice will look like after PD (describe for teachers and students)	Current strengths in teacher practice related to this focus	Desired <u>changes</u> in teacher practice related to this focus		
Social Emotional Learning/Positive Behavioral	<ul> <li>All teachers, regardless of subject matter or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff are all interested in learning a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Calming Corners,</li> <li>Consistent</li> <li>language and</li> </ul>		

Interception and		1	
Intervention and Supports	role will implement Zones of Regulation strategies and Trauma Informed practices within their classrooms.  Students will learn and implement self- regulation strategies and use as needed Will learn de- escalation techniques and strategies to address potential crisis situations and avert them	consistent method of working with students at Jacobs.  80% of staff are currently using Zones of Regulation Year 3 of PBIS	practices will be utilized throughout the entire school.  De-escalation strategies will be used to prevent crisis situations  Decrease by at least 50% number of office referrals for students  Stronger connections (as found on surveys/SAYOs) between staff and students  100% of staff using Zones of Regulation strategies
Special Education	<ul> <li>Differentiated scaffolds for all learners to teach all students (diverse learning styles)</li> <li>Students in all categories/needs classes will raise proficiency levels on MCAS, STAR and regularly implement learned strategies</li> <li>Use of visuals and academic supports to assist all learners</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Collaboration between teachers and support staff for best practices in teaching diverse learners through BBST, SPED team</li> <li>Increased access to technology</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff will use technology and intervention strategies to support students</li> <li>Use of varied scaffolds (videos, apps, manipulatives and other support tools) to support all learners</li> <li>Stronger differentiation of lessons</li> <li>Increased scores on all assessments</li> </ul>
STEAM/ Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, Mathematics	<ul> <li>Teachers will imbed STEAM focus in lessons and increase achievement in Math and Science</li> <li>Students and teachers will</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SPARK lab         participation</li> <li>Previous         strength in math         (rote knowledge)</li> <li>Interest in Non-         fiction topics</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Collaboration for SPARK Lab time between teacher and SPARK Lab teacher-</li> <li>Concentrated, focused Hands-on</li> </ul>

	become more		lessons to bring
	fluent in math		science topics to
	concepts and		life
	scientific	•	Math skills
	knowledge after		(conceptual) will
	PD and lessons		improve on
	Math Fact		benchmark
	practice		assessments and
	(ELT/OST) will be		teachers will use
	implemented to		conceptual skills
1	strengthen math		to drive lessons
	rote skills to	•	Rote math fact
1	assist with multi-		recall will
	step problem-		strengthen to
	solving		improve ability to
	Math language		solve multi-step
	(written and oral		problems
1	share-outs) will	•	Written
	be strengthened		responses will
	to help support		increase in
	how problems		number, as more
	were solved		examples are
			shared for solving

(b) Outline, by topic and by month, the PD programming and sequencing that will help your staff make the necessary changes in practice.

Focus area 1:	Social Emotional Learning/Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports					
Instructional strategies:	expecta	of Regulation, PBIS social ations, Character traits: t, Responsibility and Safety	Approximate dates:	August 18 – December 12, 2018		
Meeting		Learning objectives for teac	hers	Support needed		
August 27, 28,	August 27, 28, 29 Teachers will discuss 2018/2019 PBIS structure and protocols to implement full PBIS system		Kerry Kennedy Kris Welchman PBIS team			
September 12, 2018		Teachers will understand and Regulation so students can id regulate, and respond appro	Kris Welchman			
September 18, 2018		Teachers will analyze SWIS data for trends and understand the behavioral flowchart to manage behaviors at the office and classroom levels		Kris Welchman		
		Teachers will explore how trauma affects students' abilities to learn in the classroom		Joel Ristuccia		
October 16, 2018		Teachers will learn to recogn situational social-emotional escalation strategies-part 1		Kris Welchman		

October 17, 2018	Teachers will explore how trauma affects students' abilities to learn in the classroom	Joel Ristuccia
November 13, 2018	Teachers will practice de-escalation strategies that can be used to prevent crisis situations	Kris Welchman
November 14, 2018	Teachers will explore how trauma affects students' abilities to learn in the classroom-part 2	Joel Ristuccia
November 18, 2018	Teachers will explore how trauma affects students' abilities to learn in the classroom-implementation in classrooms	Joel Ristuccia

Focus area 2:	Special	Education-Student Supports	
Instructional strategies:	Scaffold	Manipulatives, - ding (anchor charts, video roblem-solving tools)  Approximate dates:	December 18 – March 27
Meeting		Learning objectives for teachers	Support Needed
TBD		Teachers will explore strategies for Mathematics to improve constructive responses in children with diverse learning styles	Kim Bettencourt Sandra Ford
TBD		Teachers will explore strategies for Writing to improve essays and conventions in children with diverse learning styles	Kim Bettencourt Sandra Ford
December 12, 2018		Teachers will understand the BBST function and referral process (Session I)	Holly DeMello
January 8, 2019		Teachers will review case studies to correctly implement the BBST process	Holly DeMello
February 5, 201	9	Teachers will study and practice phonemic based strategies to help support students with diverse learning needs (preK-2)	Holly DeMello Monique Latessa
February 6, 2019		Teachers will study and practice phonemic based strategies to help support students with diverse learning needs (3-5)	Holly DeMello Monique Latessa
March 5, 2019		Teachers will distinguish between IEPs and 504 plans and how to accommodate/modify according to plans; teachers will learn the qualifications for sub separate placements	Monique Latessa
March 6, 2019		Overview of supports and services for students with diverse learning styles	Holly DeMello Monique Latessa
March 27, 2019		Teachers will understand and learn the process of data collection for referrals and how to write Part(B) of an Ed. plan	Monique Latessa

Focus area 3: STEAM/Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, Mathematics						
Instructional strategies:		responses in Math and Manipulative support  Approximate dates:		August 28 – May 29		
Meeting		Learning objectives for te	achers	Support needed		
August 28 - 29		Teachers will unpack the same sequence and plan with the teacher for the development model and to map the year based on the standards	ne SPARK science lab ent of a co-teaching	Joseph Silva Daniel Viegas		
October 24, 202	19	Teachers will learn how to styles of notebooks for sci notation	create and set-up various entific inquiry and	Simone Bourgeois Daniel Viegas		
TBD		Deconstructing math word	d problems by grade level	Sandy Ford		
November 6, 2018		Backwards planning for pr focused on STEAM Standa engagement with assistand Partnerships	Kerry Kennedy Jen Ferland			
Ongoing Teacher Planning	er	Common Planning Time (C Standards, map out lesson assess. Review	Kerry Kennedy Dan Viegas Joe Silva			
Re-teach plans		CPT-Teachers/support team plans to implement during support areas of need	Kerry Kennedy Dan Viegas			
January 28, 2019		PBL-STEAM PD-Unpacking map for PBL topics for rem for PBL POLs	Kerry Kennedy Dan Viegas Jen Ferland			
LASW protocol for On-going during CPTs 1x monthly written responses in STEAM				Kerry Kennedy Dan Viegas		
Ongoing CP		Teachers will review existing through the lens of backward lessons are created that mathrough formative assessment.	ards design to ensure the aximize student growth	Kerry Kennedy Dan Viegas Grade-Level Teams		